

2013

John F Kearney, legal education and life opportunities

Michael Kirby AC CMG

Follow this and additional works at: <http://epublications.bond.edu.au/blr>

This Article is brought to you by the Faculty of Law at ePublications@bond. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bond Law Review by an authorized administrator of ePublications@bond. For more information, please contact [Bond University's Repository Coordinator](#).

John F Kearney, legal education and life opportunities

Abstract

John Fouhy Kearney was born on 11 June 1923. He was educated at Xavier College and at the University of Melbourne. He was admitted to the Bar of Victoria and practised extensively in what we would now call environmental law. He was an active participant in international legal conferences. He loved to travel with his wife Alison, whom he had married in 1950, and with whom he had two sons and two daughters. He was a lawyer engaged with the world and interested in ideas beyond the narrow conclave of the profession.

Keywords

legal education, philanthropy, career, law school

JOHN F. KEARNEY, LEGAL EDUCATION AND LIFE OPPORTUNITIES

THE HON. MICHAEL KIRBY AC CMG*

I HONOURING AN ALUMNUS

John Fouhy Kearney was born on 11 June 1923. He was educated at Xavier College and at the University of Melbourne. He was admitted to the Bar of Victoria and practised extensively in what we would now call environmental law. He was an active participant in international legal conferences. He loved to travel with his wife Alison, whom he had married in 1950, and with whom he had two sons and two daughters. He was a lawyer engaged with the world and interested in ideas beyond the narrow conclave of the profession.

John Kearney's distinction in the law was recognised by his appointment in 1964 as Queen's Council. In 1966 he became engaged in the activities of the International Commission of Jurists, a link he maintained into the 1980s, by which time I was elected a Commissioner. In 1970, his professional distinction was recognised by his appointment to the Ground-Water Appeals Board of the Victoria. That position expanded in 1971 by his appointment as Chairman of the Town Planning Appeals Tribunal of Victoria — a post he retained until 1976, the year in which he was admitted as a member of Middle Temple in England. Eventually, he moved his residence to Mudgeeraba in Queensland. He was admitted to the Queensland Bar. And he established his links with Bond University.

Those links were to bear fruit in many generous acts of philanthropy. He made large donations to help found the John F. Kearney Law Library, to establish the Moot Court that bears his name and to create the Legal Skills Building at Bond. Later, he and Alison Kearney made a generous donation to create the university library that is named after them. The donations continued with the Kearney Student Exchange Grant, dedicated to Bond students travelling overseas on exchange and to inaugurate the John F. Kearney Gold Medal in Law. His have been the most substantial of private donations made to Bond University. He was also a generous donor to Griffith University Law School and to his old law school in Melbourne University. Future law students and lawyers around Australia and across the world will have reason to honour his name and the generosity of the gifts that he and his wife have made for education. I also honour him as a philanthropist. But because I knew him, and met

* Hon. LLD (Bond). Justice of the High Court of Australia (1996-2009).

him frequently on visits to Bond Law School, I acknowledge his quiet, dignified, engaging personality. He had bucket loads of Irish charm. Whilst he lived, he was faithful in attending virtually all of the dinners and events when I (and other visitors) came to Bond. He was proud of the Bond Law School; the excellence of the students it attracted; the large cohort of overseas students; the great successes in national and international mootings; and the brilliant faculty who helped give the University its distinctive character. He was admitted to an honorary doctorate of the University (as I later was, although much less deservedly). He is thus an alumnus of Bond. It is right that, on his passing, we should honour him and celebrate his life. It is especially appropriate that, in this review, we should use the occasion to reflect upon the directions of legal education. This was a cause that greatly interested John Kearney, for in it he saw the future of the profession he greatly valued for its place in the life of his country.

II LEGAL EDUCATION: GROWING DOUBTS

For a long time, legal education in advanced common law countries has been in a state of flux. After centuries, in which in England the law was taught by apprenticeship and professional instructors, the 19th century in the United States and the 20th century in England, Australia and elsewhere saw a shift to academic instruction in university contexts. The tug-of-war between practitioners (often led by the judges) and scholars is again heating up. Perhaps, of its nature, it can never be finally resolved. Now there are new ingredients at work. They include concern about the limited numbers of placements available for law graduates in traditional legal employment and fresh concerns about increasing recruitment of overseas students to take up places in relatively high fee/low cost faculties as a business proposition promoted by income-oriented universities.

These phenomena are mentioned in recent reports from the United States of America. In February 2014, Debra Cassens Weiss, writing for the American Bar Association, reported on a survey of lawyers who passed the bar examinations in 2000. This found a decline in the percentage of lawyers practising law and major differences in pay based on gender, law school ranking and grades. Of the cohort of United States lawyers surveyed, 24% were not actually practising law in 2012. This compared to about nine per cent who were not practising law in 2003. The work pattern of bar graduates in 2000 has been monitored in 2003, 2007 and 2012. More than 3000 respondents answered the wave three survey. The source of concern to the fellows of the ABA was that the graduates surveyed were the so-called “golden age graduates” from a time of high confidence about the future of legal practice. Most of those not practising had moved into the non-profit and education sectors; federal government; or business employment (real estate agents, investment bankers). Women working

full-time reported earning only 80% of the pay acknowledged by male counterparts. The deficit was highest in business where women reported only 67% of male counterparts. Women who were partners in law firms numbered 52.3%, compared to 68.8% for men. If attention were paid to equity partnerships, the drop was greater.

The findings show quite high levels of graduates who were happy with their decision to attend law school; increasing levels (8.4% to 27.7% to 44.1%) who had drifted into business; and nearly 50% who, by 2012, had paid off their education debt.¹

It is this rising level of student debt in the United States that has led even President Obama to suggest the need for a reduction to two years in law school education with a third year spent clerking or practising in a law firm.² In an attempt to improve access to justice, Washington State has been experimenting with limited license legal technicians. These technicians are trained and licenced to handle certain civil legal matters. The delineation between the future work properly to be assigned to fully qualified law graduates and para legal personnel is bound to be a hot topic in a country where job availability to bar graduates has declined.

With the decline in student enrolment (described as 'plunging') in the United States, steps are being taken to reduce the protection of tenure for law school professors.³ Some law professors are reported as expressing concern that limiting job protections would silence faculty who espouse unpopular positions or who take on controversial cases, prompting alumni or prominent donors who disagree to push for their dismissal; all of which shows the pressures of change in legal education in the United States.

Another change, responding to the reported failure of 59% of United States universities to meet their enrolment goals in 2013, has been the efforts to win enrolments from international students to take up the slack. According to reports,⁴ the number of international students coming to United States universities has 'soared'. China is the chief source with 236,000 last year, followed by India (97,000),

¹ Debra Weiss, '24% of JDs who passed the bar aren't practising law, survey finds' *ABA Journal* (online) (2014)
<http://www.abajournal.com/news/article/twelve_years_after_the_jd_20_percent_arent_practising_law>.

² Tamar Lewin, 'Task Force backs changes in Legal Education System' *New York Times*, 20 September 2013, A14.

³ Jennifer Smith, 'Law-School Professors Face Less Job Security' *The Wall Street Journal* (New York), 11 August 2013.

⁴ Erika Fry, 'But you don't like to read. Why do you want to go to Harvard?' *Fortune Magazine* (New York), 24 February 2014.

South Korea (71,000), and Saudi Arabia (45,000). Thailand came in 20th at 7,000. An alumni journal expressed concern that the 'word on the streets of Bangkok is that unqualified applicants can purchase admission in any of the 8 Ivy League Colleges [in the United States]'⁵. A significant proportion of the overseas enrolments are being welcomed into law schools, with their places emptied of many local applicants.

Similar problems are arising in several Asian countries. In August 2013, the chairperson of the Japan Association of Law Schools, in Seoul, warned Korean educators 'not to repeat the mistakes that [Japan's] law schools have made'.⁶ According to Kaoru Kamata, who is also President of Waseda University in Japan, Japanese law school enrolment has been steadily declining since 2004. In that year there were 72,000 applicants to 68 schools. However, the number of applicants has since dropped to 13,000. Mr Kamata's explanation is that: 'Too many graduates have been churned out but not enough jobs are waiting for them. So many perceive legal job prospects as being not as good as they used to be'.⁷ Other speakers in Seoul acknowledged that the position was similar in Korea. Dean Shin of the Yonsei University Law School stated: 'Here in Korea many law school graduates struggle to find a proper job'.⁸

Some of the foregoing developments have appeared in Australia. The tension between the ideal of the law school that would 'create a course of professional training'⁹ and one that would view the law from the stand point of Critical Legal Studies was played out in the minor key at the law school of the University of New South Wales,¹⁰ and at La Trobe University's department of Legal Studies,¹¹ but the noise was raised dramatically at the Macquarie Law School, coming to a peak during the time I served as Chancellor.¹² Having myself been a beneficiary of the legal realism (extremely high for the time) of Professor Julius Stone at the Sydney Law School in the 1950s-60s, I could never understand why it was not beneficial to have

⁵ Ibid.

⁶ Kim Ki-Hwan, 'Korea Warned Against Japan's Law School Mistakes' *Joon-Gang Daily* with *International Herald Tribune*, (Korea), 22 August 2013, 3.

⁷ Ibid.

⁸ Ibid.

⁹ Peter Nygh, 'Memorandum to Law School Staff' (1989) 5 *Australian Journal of Law and Society* 57, 57.

¹⁰ Frank Carrigan, 'They Make a Desert and Call it Peace' (2013) 23(2) *Legal Education Review* 313, 318. Many of his assertions are strongly contested.

¹¹ Ibid, 321.

¹² Ibid, 324.

each stream present and active in a university school of law. Or why unconditional surrender was the only option offered by some proponents of each point of view.

Currently, the University of New South Wales Law School is implementing changes to its curriculum developed by the curriculum review working party 2010-13. A competing stream of LLB and JD courses is now offered. The need for change is explained by reference to the 'fundamental changes in the way in which law is practised'.¹³ These changes include:

The internationalisation of legal issues; developments in legal markets, especially through realignment of firms; the growing centrality of regulation in all its forms; concern about ethics and values, especially in the light of some lawyers' contributions to the global financial crisis; responses to terrorism, and other systemic failures; and the increasing significance of the non-curial arena for dispute resolution.

The result has been an emphasis on 'vertical' themes, which reflect both positivist and social realism objectives. Thus equity and trusts becomes a compulsory course; as do new subjects of obligatory studies: Principals of Private Law and Principals of Public Law. A new core course on 'law in the global context' is introduced, as are new courses on Theories of Law and Justice, Lawyers, Ethics and Justice, and Legal Research and Writing.

Growing concern in the Australian legal profession about the arrival of similar trends to those happening in the United States is reflected in an important address given by Chief Justice Marilyn Warren of Victoria in April 2014 for Monash University Law School. On numbers, she pointed out that, in the United States, with ten times Australia's population, 45,000 law students were now graduating. In Australia, 12,000 graduates were being produced each year, a figure that has grown by 107% since 2001. The number of postgraduate legal qualifications has grown 330% in a decade¹⁴.

According to Chief Justice Warren, recent surveys indicated that just 66% of graduates would practise law. She indicated concern about class sizes; the market-

¹³ UNSW Law, 'Curriculum Review – Designing an International Experiential, Research-Focused Curriculum for a C21 Law School' (Unpublished) UNSW, 2014, 4; cf Vai Io Lo, 'Before competition and beyond complacency – the internationalisation of legal education in Australia' (2012) 22(1) *Legal Education Review*, 3.

¹⁴ Chief Justice Marilyn Warren, 'The Access to Justice Imperative: Rights, Rationalisation and Resolution?' (Speech delivered at the Eleventh Fiat Justitia Lecture, Monash University, 25 March 2014) citing Chris Merritt, 'Call for cap on lawyers to ease strain' *The Australian*, 4 April 2014, 15.

driven conversion of the law degree for generalised education; and the absence of some core subjects despite 'agitation from the highest judicial levels'.¹⁵ In this connection, she mentioned the need for a compulsory course in statutory interpretation, a skill 'fundamental to legal education and, inevitably, the application of the rule of law'.¹⁶

I certainly agree about the desirability of special courses in statutory interpretation, rather than inclusion of that topic as a sub theme of substantive law instruction. But if the market demonstrates that there is a utility in a law degree for careers in government, non-profits and the business sector, some will question the need to put caps on intake. So long as new recruits (both national and international) are aware that jobs will not be waiting for them in the traditional legal vocations, no harm may be done. If market oversupply drives down lawyer salaries and makes lawyers available to less prosperous clients, so much the better. Undoubtedly, the discipline of learning legal analysis is now essential in business where company officers must perform their entrepreneurial functions with the huge and complex tome of corporations enactments at their elbow. The decline in lawyers entering Australia's parliaments is a misfortune that needs to be corrected. Many new and different opportunities now await legal graduates, including overseas. Still, Australia's proliferating law schools need to be honest with their new recruits. Those from at home need to be told that available professional jobs cannot expand indefinitely. Those from abroad need to be told candidly about the utility of an Australian degree when they return home.

One thing that is sure is that the changing character of the cohort of law students today, and the changing features of available work, will continue to have a significant impact on Australian law school curricula. And on the expectations, skills and interests of those who graduate in law. To the extent that law courses become less focused upon subjects regarded by the judiciary and the profession as 'core', the pressure will grow to superimpose a requirement to undertake a separate Bar admission examination on top of university qualifications. If this were added to the trend towards JD degrees (with the extra year(s) of tertiary instruction they demand), a serious potential challenge would arise for the recruitment into the practising profession of law students from disadvantaged socio-economic backgrounds.

¹⁵ Ibid.

¹⁶ Ibid.

III SOCIO-ECONOMICS OF LAW INTAKES

This last thought brings me back to a theme that I have mentioned in many earlier observations on legal education, including recently.¹⁷ Law is not, at least when practised in professional occupations, an ordinary job. It offers to its recruits a comparatively rare opportunity to get their hands on the leavers of public power, without the irksome necessity to offer themselves for elected office or to endure long enough to rise to the higher echelons of the public service. Advocates and judges, in particular, have significant influence upon interpretation of the constitution, of statutes and subordinate legislation and development of the common law. This means that the people we recruit into law schools, to the extent that they will enter the branches of the practicing profession, often come to exercise significant influence on the shape and direction of the law. This makes their values extremely important. Even those who do not accept totally the dogmas of Critical Legal Studies will be forced, if they are honest, to acknowledge the influence that values often play in the resolution of issues contested in the courts and tribunals.

A fine Australian legal academic, John Goldring, successively acquainted with law schools at UNSW, PNG, ANU, Macquarie and the University of Wollongong set out to analyse the intake of school students into Australian law schools by reference to the socio-economic backgrounds of their families. In doing so, he built on the research earlier undertaken by D. Anderson and J. Western,¹⁸ drawn to notice for its significance for the law by Julian Disney, John Basten, Paul Redmond and Stan Ross in 1977.¹⁹

Goldring undertook two inquiries, with a decade in between, in 1976 and 1986 respectively. In 1976 he found that 20% of the law students surveyed had fathers with incomes equal to, or less than, the average wage (then \$9,000) and 24% had fathers with incomes equal to, or above, \$19,000. In the same year, Goldring also found that 42% of law students had a relative or family friend who was a solicitor, 24%, a barrister, and 15%, a judge. There may be overlaps within these groups of respondents. However, there was no way that 15% of the general Australian population in 1976 could have boasted a family member or friend who was a judge.

¹⁷ M D Kirby, 'J.L. Goldring, Legal education and a most unusual occupation' (2014) 38 *Australian Bar Review* 1.

¹⁸ D S Anderson and J S Western, 'Notes on a study of professional socialisation' (1967) 3 *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Sociology*, 67; D S Anderson and J S Western, 'Social Profiles of Students in Four Professions' (1970) 3(4) *Quarterly Review of Australian Education*, 1.

¹⁹ *Lawyers* (LawBook Co, Sydney, 1977) 136, 140-1.

That figure is likely to have been lower than one per cent. Goldring's statistics demonstrated the generally privileged social background of law students in Australia at that time.²⁰

In his 1986 survey, Goldring noted a number of key findings:²¹

The figures show a fairly sharp increase in the proportion of women entering law courses, even allowing for the number of non-responses in 1976. By 1986, the average of female entrants into law courses in Australia was 47%. In the Melbourne Law School, women had already achieved a majority (51%).²²

In 1976, at the four law schools surveyed, 86% of the new law students were 19 or under, while in 1986, this percentage had declined to 62%.²³ In 1986, the proportion of older students was higher at Macquarie (14% over 26), UNSW (8%) and ANU (15%) than at Sydney (2%).²⁴ An interesting survey response related to the educational background of law students. In 1986, approximately 70% of Australian students were educated in public ('government') schools; 41% of those entering law schools had been educated in public schools; 23% in Roman Catholic schools and 32% in private ('independent') schools. The biggest disparities in educational background were at the oldest law schools in Australia where, at Melbourne University, 42% attended private secondary schools and at Sydney Law School, 40%.²⁵

Data in the 1976 survey revealed that an overwhelming proportion of law students came from families where the status of one or both parents was relatively high. Following the 1986 survey, Goldring reported that 'students are still likely to come from homes where the breadwinner is self-employed, or is employed in a professional, managerial or skilled occupation. The proportion of students who reported either parent as being in low status occupations, with the exception of Macquarie and NSWIT (where the bulk of the group is likely to be comprised part-time students), is remarkably low.'²⁶

From this survey in 1986, Professor Goldring reached general conclusions important for the shape of legal education and the legal profession in Australia:

²⁰ See Julian Disney et al, above n 19, 139.

²¹ John Goldring, 'An Update Social Profile of Students Entering Law Courses' (1986) 29(2) *Australian Universities' Review*, 38.

²² *Ibid*, 40.

²³ *Ibid*, 39.

²⁴ *Ibid*, 39 (Table 3).

²⁵ *Ibid*, 40 (Table 6).

²⁶ *Ibid*, 42.

[T]here... is a need to provide access to legal education in Australia for students who lack the social and economic advantages which are a decided plus in both completing secondary education and in gaining admission to a law degree programme, and ... [s]econdly, the most practical way of doing so is by expanding the opportunities for part-time or distance education in law ... Part-time law teachers, who are usually busy solicitors and barristers, must give priority to the interest of their practises and their clients over the interest of their students and their teaching. Because they often have insufficient time for thought and reflection, the content of what they teach is seldom innovative or intellectually challenging, to the educational detriment to their students and to the long-term disadvantage to the community.²⁷

Goldring's final comments concerned social mobility inherent in access to a law degree. He wrote:

Traditionally it has been accepted that the study of law has been a path for upward social mobility in Australia. It appears that, in the case of full-time study, this is not, and has not for some time been, the case for a significant number of law students. Law students in full-time courses remain an affluent and privileged group.²⁸

Soon after his 1986 survey, Goldring accepted judicial appointment and no further such surveys have been conducted. In a recent lecture to honour his contributions to legal education, I urged the need to revive the survey of the backgrounds of law students at decade-long intervals.²⁹ I suggested that this was necessary, in the circumstances of the huge expansion of the number of Australian law schools; the continuing rise of female law students; the influx of overseas students; and the diminishing availability of traditional legal jobs, to scrutinise the types of persons entering upon legal studies. Wherever such students end up, whether in traditional occupations or in government, business or non-profit organisations, the values of the law graduate are likely to have greater impact than in the case of a dental, veterinary or engineering background. Law is frequently about values. So the values of those who practice and apply its skills are likely to matter. This is a reason for monitoring arriving law students in Australia; and for tweaking the outcomes where important groups (such as indigenous, some ethnic and poorer socio-economic backgrounds) are shown to be under-represented.³⁰

²⁷ Ibid, 43-4.

²⁸ Ibid, 44.

²⁹ M D Kirby, above n 17, 2.

³⁰ See K Petersen (ed), *Socio-legality: An Odyssey of Ideas and Context* (The Federation Press, Sydney, 2013); see especially I Duncanson, 'Socio-Legal Studies in the Ages of Empire and Businessman Bottles: An Historical and Political Account' in Petersen (ed), above n

IV CONCLUSIONS: AN ONGOING CHALLENGE

John Kearney obviously understood the difficulties faced by law students coming from less favoured backgrounds. His donations to libraries (where they could study), to scholarships (where they might otherwise miss out) and to new law schools (without some of the subventions of the old) show his commitment to equal opportunity in legal education. That is a commitment made more significant in the context of current developments in the intake of law students. It is a concern made more relevant by research into the socio-economic background of Australia's law students. What is sure is that the debates about the purposes and content of legal education, and who should be admitted to it, will continue. Bond University, with its distinctive brand, must contribute to these debates.

27, 59, 67; David Neal, 'Law and Power – Livin' in the '70s' in Petersen (ed), above n 27, 109.